		Case 3:08-cv-02713-SC	Document 7	Filed 08/29/2008	Page 1 of 6
	1 2 3 4 5	PAIGE P. YEH - State Bar #229197 JENKINS GOODMAN NEUMAN & HAMILTON LLP 417 Montgomery Street, 10 th Floor San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 705-0400 Facsimile: (415) 705-0411			
	HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.				
	7 8	MARC J. DEREWETZKY – State Bar # 130944 MORISON ANSA HOLDEN ASSUNCAO & PROUGH, LLP 1550 Parkside Drive, Third Floor Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Phone (925) 974-5107 Fax (925) 937-3272			
	9				
	10				
Jenkins Goodman Neuman & Hamilton LLP 417 Montgomery St. 10 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 705-0400	12	UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT			RT
	13	THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
	14	HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., No. 08-02713 SC			•
	15		Plaintiff,	JOINT CASE M	
	16	vs.			& PROPOSED ORDER
	17 18	UNITED STATES FIDELITY and GUARANTY COMPANY, TRAVELERS INSURANCE	Time:	September 5, 2008 10:00 a.m. 1	
	19	COMPANY, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,			
	20	Defendants.			
	21	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT			
	22	Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(f) and Local Rules 16-9 and 16-10,			
	23	the parties, Plaintiff HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC. ("HOME DEPOT" or "Plaintiff") and			
	24	Defendant UNITED STATES FIDELITY AND GUARANTY COMPANY ("USF&G" or			
	25	"Plaintiff") hereby jointly submit the following status report:			
	26				
		-1-			
		JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT C 08-2713 SC			

1. <u>Jurisdiction and Service of Process</u>

The case was removed from California Superior Court in Alameda County because the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional minimum and the parties are diverse. In addition to Defendant USF&G, Plaintiff HOME DEPOT also named and served Defendant TRAVELERS INSURANCE COMPANY ("TRAVELERS"). Plaintiff will file a voluntary dismissal without prejudice as to TRAVELERS prior to the Case Management Conference. At this time, there are no other outstanding jurisdiction or service of process issues.

2. Facts

GlideRite Corporation ("GlideRite") and Lumbermen's Mutual Insurance Company ("Lumbermans") entered into a general liability insurance contract, for the period March 22, 2003 to March 22, 2004. Plaintiff contends that on June 23, 2003, Plaintiff was named as an additional insured on the Lumbermen's insurance contract with GlideRite (the company hired by Plaintiff to supply and maintain the carts at Plaintiff's stores). Plaintiff further contends that Defendant took over or otherwise acquired the insurance business and/or policies of Lumbermen's and for its own internal reasons rewrote and/or reissued GlideRite Corporation's general liability policy midterm. Defendant contends it entered into a general liability insurance contract with GlideRite for the period September 4, 2003, to September 4, 2004. Plaintiff contends and Defendant denies that Plaintiff is or should have been added as and additional insured under Defendant's insurance contract and that, under the terms of that contract, Defendant owed a duty to defend and indemnify Plaintiff with respect to covered claims.

On July 15, 2005, a lawsuit entitled *Sara Lewinstein v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc., et al* was filed in Alameda Superior Court, Action No. RG05222929, against various defendants, including Plaintiff (the "Underlying Action"). The Underlying Action arose

Jenkins Goodman
Neuman & Hamilton
LLP
417 Montgomery St.
10th Floor
San Francisco, CA
94104
(415) 705-0400
26

3 4

6

5

7 8

10

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

enkins Goodman LLP

Francisco, CA 94104 26 (415) 705-0400

out of an incident involving a cart maintained by GlideRite that occurred on September 23, 2003.

Plaintiff contends that the Underlying Action is a covered claim under Defendant's policy. Plaintiff contends that it tendered the defense of the Underlying Action to Defendant. Plaintiff contends that Defendant failed to defend or indemnify Plaintiff or to provide any meaningful response to its tender of defense, and that by doing so Defendant breached not only its contractual obligations to Plaintiff but also the covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

Defendant contends that Plaintiff is not an additional insured under the Policy, and therefore Defendant did not breach any contractual or other obligation to Plaintiff. Defendant also contends that it did respond to Plaintiff's tender of defense of the underlying action in a timely manner and did advise Plaintiff of its denial of the tender of defense, and did not breach the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

Legal Issues 3.

The legal issues in this case include whether under the facts of this case, HOME DEPOT is an additional insured under, the USF&G insurance contract, whether USF&G is estopped from denying coverage due to its alleged actions, and whether USF&G breached its obligations to HOME DEPOT in the manner in which it handled and responded to HOME DEPOT'S tender of defense in the underlying action.

4. **Motions**

Either Plaintiff or Defendant may file discovery motions, depending on the outcome of discovery. Plaintiff may, and Defendant will, file a motion for summary judgment.

5. **Amendment of the Pleadings**

It is possible that new parties may be discovered through the discovery. Plaintiff and Defendant agree that amendments to pleadings adding new parties shall be made by December 31, 2008.

Evidence Preservation 6.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Both parties have agreed to preserve any and all documentary, electronic or other evidence that may lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

7. **Initial Disclosures**

Both parties have made their initial disclosures.

8. Discovery (per FRCP 26(f))

Discovery To Date: Prior to removal from state court to this court, both parties propounded and responded to special interrogatories and requests for production of documents. Defendant also propounded requests for admissions.

Anticipated Discovery: Plaintiff anticipates further written discovery and between 3 and 6 depositions of Defendant, GlideRite, and any relevant insurance brokers. The depositions will address the coverage that existed prior to Defendant's involvement, the facts and circumstances surrounding Defendant's taking over and rewriting the relevant insurance policy, communications with GlideRite, Plaintiff, and any broker concerning this transfer and rewriting, and the resulting coverage, and the handling of Plaintiff's tender of defense.

Defendant anticipates further written discovery, and between 3 and 6 depositions of plaintiff, GlideRite and any relevant insurance brokers.

<u>Proposed Discovery Plan</u>: Written discovery and records subpoenas to be completed by January 30, 2009. Expert reports to be completed by February 27, 2009. Depositions and cleanup discovery to be completed by April 30, 2009. No other modifications or limitations are suggested at this time.

9. **Class Actions**

Not applicable.

10. Related Cases

Unknown.

24 enkins Goodman 25 LLP Francisco, CA 94104 26

(415) 705-0400

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT C 08-2713 SC

Case 3:08-cv-02713-SC

enkins Goodman uman & Hamilton LLP

an Francisco, CA 94104

(415) 705-0400

Document 7

Filed 08/29/2008

Page 5 of 6

C 08-2713 SC